



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION I

**DATE:** 11 August 2009

**SUBJ:** Site Investigation Closure Memorandum  
Scofieldtown Road Park Site  
Stamford, CT 06903

**FROM:** Richard A. Haworth, On-Scene Coordinator *RAH*  
Emergency Response and Removal Section II, EPRB, OSRR

**THRU:** Steven R. Novick, Chief *SN*  
Emergency Response and Removal Section II, EPRB, OSRR

**TO:** Scofieldtown Road Park Site File

---

In accordance with section 300.410 of the National Contingency Plan (NCP), a Removal Site Evaluation, consisting of a Preliminary Assessment and Site Investigation (PA/SI), has been undertaken at the Scofieldtown Road Park Site ("Site") in Stamford, Connecticut. The findings of the Removal Site Evaluation have been evaluated under the criteria set forth in section 300.415 of the NCP, section 104(a) and (b) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. § 9604(a) and (b) and Clean Water Act (CWA) § 311(c)(i) as amended by the Oil Pollution Act (OPA) § 4201(a). The Removal Site Evaluation has led to the determination that a Removal Action **is not** appropriate at this time.

The findings of the Removal Site Evaluation are outlined below.

1. Source and nature of the release or threat of release
  - a. The Removal Site Evaluation consisted of the following actions.
    - i. The Site Investigation on 26 May 2009.
    - ii. Generating a PA/SI report by EPA's contractor, titled "Removal Program Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation Report for the Scofieldtown Road Park Site, Stamford, Fairfield County, Connecticut, 26 May 2009," dated August, 2009.
  - b. Based on the information available at this time, the principal hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants that are being released or for which there is threat of release include but are not necessarily limited to the list below.

Hazardous Substances or Pollutants or  
Contaminants

Media

Polychlorinated Biphenols

Soil

2. Evaluation of the threat to public health, welfare and the environment

a. Federal Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry:

Threat \_\_\_\_\_ No Threat \_\_\_\_\_ Evaluation Not Necessary X

b. Endangerment to the ecosystem:

Threat \_\_\_\_\_ No Threat \_\_\_\_\_ Evaluation Not Necessary X

3. The Removal Site Evaluation was terminated pursuant to section 300.410(f) of the NCP for the following reason(s).

- There is no release.
- The source is neither a "vessel" nor a "facility" as defined in section 300.5 of the NCP.
- The release involves neither a hazardous substance, nor a pollutant or contaminant that may present an imminent and substantial danger to public health or welfare of the United States.
- It is subject to the limitations on response specified in §300.400(b)(1) through (3). The release is
  - of a naturally occurring substance in its unaltered form, or altered solely through naturally occurring processes or phenomena, from a location where it is naturally found.
  - from products that are part of the structure of, and result in exposure within, residential buildings or businesses or community structures.
  - into public or private drinking water supplies due to deterioration of the system through ordinary use.
- The amount, quantity, or concentration released does not warrant a Federal response.  
The State of Connecticut's maximum acceptable concentration of PCBs in

surface soil at a location such as this park site is 1.0 ppm. PCBs were detected in only one of 14 surface soil samples. The concentration exceeded the standard by only 0.66 ppm. PCBs were detected in only 3 of 14 subsurface soil samples collected from a depth of one foot. Of these, only 2 exceeded the standard, but again, by less than 1 ppm (0.30 & 0.65 ppm).

- (X) A party responsible for the release, or any other person, is providing appropriate response, and on-scene monitoring by EPA is not required. DEP has informed that the City has closed park pending a comprehensive investigation pursuant to state regulations.
- ( ) The Removal Site Evaluation is complete.

4. As reflected in Section 3, above, the Removal Site Evaluation was terminated due to its completion, and not for other reasons.
- a. The factors listed below, found in Section 300.415(b)(2) of the NCP, are applicable to this Site.
    - ( ) Actual or potential exposure to nearby human populations, animals, or the food chain from hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants.
    - ( ) Actual or potential contamination of drinking water supplies or sensitive ecosystems.
    - ( ) Hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants in drums, barrels, tanks, or other bulk storage containers, that may pose a threat of release.
    - ( ) High levels of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants in soils largely at or near the surface, that may migrate.
    - ( ) Weather conditions that may cause hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants to migrate or be released.
    - ( ) Threat of fire or explosion.
    - ( ) The availability of other appropriate Federal or State response mechanisms to respond to the release.
    - ( ) Other situations or factors that may pose threats to public health or welfare of the United States or the environment.
  - b. The existence of the conditions specified in Section 4.a., above, indicate that a Removal Action under section 300.415 of the NCP **is not** necessary.
  - c. In light of the magnitude of the threat or potential threat to health, welfare, or the

environment, the appropriate categorization of a Removal Action at this Site is:

Emergency\_\_\_\_ Time-Critical\_\_\_\_ Non Time-Critical\_\_\_\_

5. As reflected in Section 3, above, the Removal Site Evaluation was terminated due to its completion, and not for other reasons.
- a. As found in section 300.410(e)(1) of the NCP, the OSC shall determine whether a release governed by CWA section 311(c)(1), as amended by OPA section 4201(a), has occurred.
- ( ) There is a release, or potential threat of release, as governed by the CWA as amended by OPA.
- (X) There is not a release, or potential threat of release, as governed by the CWA as amended by OPA.
- b. The absence of the conditions specified in Section 5.a., above, indicate that an Oil Spill Response under Appendix E to Part 300 of the NCP **is not** necessary. No oil was found present during the PA/SI.

cc: Steven Novick, Chief, Emergency Response and Removal Section II, OSRR (w/o attachments)  
Meghan Cassidy, Chief, Technical Support & Site Assessment Section, OSRR (w/o attachments)  
Gerardo Millan-Ramos, Pre-Remedial Program  
Brenda Haslett, CERCLIS Coordinator (w/o attachments)  
Doug Zimmerman, CT DEP (Douglas.Zimmerman@ct.gov)  
Gary Perlman, Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry  
Burt Rosenberg, Attorney, City of Stamford (BRosenberg@ci.stamford.ct.us)

Attachment: PA/SI Report